

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM	
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP <i>File 300000000</i>	
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	(return to fcs)
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> ACTION APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE </div> <div> DIRECT REPLY DISPATCH FILE INFORMATION </div> <div> PREPARE REPLY RECOMMENDATION RETURN SIGNATURE </div> </div>	
Remarks: here is rough draft of reply to meli. please read and append any points that i may have omitted, misstated, or overstated.	
<i>put in file for background.</i> <i>CRZ</i>	
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	
DATE	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <i>CRZ</i> </div> <div> <i>FCS</i> </div> <div> <i>5/21</i> </div> </div>	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>UNCLASSIFIED</div> <div>CONFIDENTIAL</div> <div>SECRET</div> </div>	

STAT

Chuck from [redacted]

26 May 76

STAT

Additional comments on sourceline draft reply

1. With reference to [redacted] (on I 3) problem, I would see no point in changing present communist sourcelines such as Kiev Domestic Service in Ukrainian purely for the sake of change; it is precise and accurate & well understood.

STAT

~~Es~~=for the noncommie sourceline ~~exampled~~ he ~~gived~~, I'm happy with the more precise Buenos Aires Radio Nacional in Spanish, as well as with Panama City Radio Libertad in Spanish, and London BBC [Domestic] in English. (drop[]) That tells precisely what the radio ID is, and avoids attempting to define as "official" what is arguable. In the commie countries it is fairly well known that there is only the government, i.e. official radio.

I don't really think Stan will have a vacuum in dealing with this.

On para 9, I think [redacted] more concise graf is a better statement of the case than original draft.

STAT

As a slight addition, suggest we also disagree that CLAN DESTINE element ought to go first--let's leave it where it is as a modifying descriptive. No change purely for the sake of change.

I don't see much point in acknowledging that clandestine is pejorative--of course it is, and such broadcasters are. It's really speculation why BBC objects to clandestine--perhaps that is the question you ought to ask (and Ed's effort invites a response as to just why BBC wanted to stamp out CLANDESTINE.

end

I also support Ed's suggestion at ~~and~~ for a]psotove state,ent ~~progrex~~ on at end on how really very close our positions now are. Good political tactics for the Czarys.

From

STAT

II. 3. I agree with Stanley...thought we would prod for simplicity with the language only, forgetting domestic & external in combo.

7. Though I cannot establish it scientifically, I would say inserting the country in all cases might foul up some of the RAPID process since we shall be sorting by city name in dateline, etc. Thus, prefer to limit use of country where necessary only, such as the Santiagos.

9. I agree with on the clandestine. In any case, draft version too patronizing. Might add to suggesti n brief acknowledgement that we know it might be pejorative (no double R) but just cannot get a better handle.

STAT

STAT

(Question: did you walk around DR and Wire and insert note in Wire log or send message to bureaus (except London) asking for quick ideas on short monicker for clandestines other than word clandestine itself.)

STAT

5/24

I. 1. Believe that we should include a stipulation that common sense will prevail over a literal reading of station announcements when the two are in conflict.

I 3. This agreement leaves a big vacuum in sourceline formulation policy. I assume some of the that ~~the former~~ official domestic services would continue as before: Moscow Domestic in Russian, Peking Domestic in Russian, etc. but how would we handle the following: Buenos Aires Domestic in Spanish or Buenos Aires Radio Nacional in Spanish, Panama City Domestic in Spanish or Panama City Radio Libertad in Spanish, London Domestic in English or London BBC Domestic in English? Are we going to continue with Kiev Domestic Service in ^{Ukrainian} ~~Russian~~ or ~~will~~ change to Kiev Ukrainian SSR Domestic Service in ^{Ukrainian} ~~Russian~~ or Kiev in Ukrainian to the ~~Ukraine~~ Ukraine?

II 3 We are concerned that so many programs now called "Domestic Service" ^{might be} ~~would~~ become "Domestic and External" that the longer phrase would become meaningless. Furthermore, it would be difficult ~~to expect~~ to apply the correct sourceline when a bureau can hear only the external component of the broadcasts but not the domestic. The reading I got from the meeting was that we should reexamine the entire question of implied and expressed targets in sourcelines and should use them only in those special circumstances when they serve a purpose, that is, broadcasts (not presscasts) from the Communist countries and a few other places. Otherwise we would just say Tokyo ^{NHK} in Japanese, Tokyo Nihon Hoso in Japanese, Caracas Radio Rumbos in Spanish, Johannesburg in English, etc.

sfxw